

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2 RE-INSPECTION (FU | | · / - |
|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0112414 DATE: <u>12/5/2011</u> | ARRIVE: <u>1015</u> | DEPART: <u>1045</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: GOLDEN HANGER | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 7108 W McNab | Rd | |
| TAMARAC 33 | 321-5306 | |
| | M P | HONE: (954)724-9977 Iobile: HONE: Iobile: |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATE | | IFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE |
| PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check ☑ only one box in A) A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 3. Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ x ≤ 2,100 g transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 5. Ineligible for General Permit drop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits | 2. New small area dry-to-dry only, transfer only, x < both types, x < 1 (constructed on a sal/yr yr dry-to-dry only, transfer only, 20 both types, 140 (constructed on a constructed on a sal/yr (constructed on a sal/yr). | x < 140 gal/yr < 200 gal/yr 140 gal/yr or after $12/9/91$) source \Box $140 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$ $00 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $\le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ or after $12/9/91$) |
| B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroet cleaning facility was 30.00 gallons. | hylene (perc) purchases made in | each of the previous 12 months by this dry |

| PA | ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | check x for e | | only o | |
|----|---|-------------|----------|------------------|----|-------------|-----|
| 1. | Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 2. | Are all perc. containers leak free ? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 3. | Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | |
| 4. | Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 5. | Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 6. | Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| | ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) | rooo | od to D | ort V | | | |
| | 1. If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u> , no controls are required. Pr | rocee | ea to P | агі у. | | | |
| | 2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped v condenser. Complete section A. below. | with a | a refrig | gerated | | | |
| | 3. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source , the machine should be equip refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Compute have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i> | | | | | | |
| | 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | with | a refriș | gerated | l | | |
| Α. | Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> : | | | check x for e | | | |
| 1. | Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | | Yes | | No | | |
| 2. | Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 3. | Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 4. | Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 5. | Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 6. | Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | |

| PA | PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|---|------------------|--|---------|---------------------------------|
| | For all existing large or new large area sources: | | | | | | |
| 1. | Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | | Yes | | No | | |
| 2. | Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 5. | Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | | No | | N/A |
| | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | (| check | V | only o | ne |
| PA | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | | (bo | check x for e | ☑ each c | • | ne |
| PA | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? | | (bo | check x for e | ☑ each c | • | ne |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | (bo | check x for e | ☑ each c | • | ne |
| 1. 2. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes | check x for e | each o | • | ne n) |
| 1. 2. 3. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | (bo | check x for e | ☑ each c | • | ne |
| 1. 2. 3. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | each o No No No | questio | one n) N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | No No No | questio | nne n) N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check ax for e | No No No No No | questio | nne nn) N/A N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | No N | questio | nne nn) N/A N/A N/A |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ———————————————————————————————————— | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | check x for e | No N | questio | nne n) N/A N/A N/A |

| PA | ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | (check 🗹 | only one | | | | |
|----|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | bo | ox for each | question) | | | | |
| | ☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | | | | | | | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | | | | | | | |
| | procedure) ? | Yes | ☐ No | | | | | |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | | | | | | | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A | | | | |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | | | | | | | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | | | | | | | |
| | the interface periphery? | Yes | ☐ No | | | | | |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | | | | | | | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | | | | | | | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes | ☐ No | N/A | | | | |
| 6. | Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations | | | | | | | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | | | | | | | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | | | | | | | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂 | Yes | ☐ No | N/A | | | | |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm | nell or | touch) while | le the | | | | |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | | | | | | | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp | ection | of perceptib | le leaks) | | | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes Yes No N/A j | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | □ No□ No□ No□ No□ No | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A | | | | |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge | enated | hydrocarbo | on detector | | | | |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag | raph sh | hall satisfy th | ne | | | | |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $\S63.322(k)$ or (l)) | | | | | | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes Yes Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes Ye | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | □ No□ No□ No□ No□ No | N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A | | | | |

| | | - |
|--|--|------|
| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS - Rule 62 | 2-213.300 FAC (continued) | |
| | | į |
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as r | required? | |
| ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ | On-site observation other | į |
| Explain other: | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| Elizabeth F.Susky | 12/5/2011 | |
| Z V N (DL Drive) | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | |
| | 12/5/2012 | |
| Linear and a Comment and | A Part of Mant Language | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | |
| | | |
| COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 12 | 2/5/2011, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at Golden Hang | gar. |
| The facility has one PERC dry-cleaner. The housekeeping at the | ne faciltiy is excellent. The spotting board areas had | |

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 12/5/2011, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at Golden Hangar The facility has one PERC dry-cleaner. The housekeeping at the facility is excellent. The spotting board areas had containment beneath them, and the drums of hazardous waste had accumulation start dates on them and were properly capped. However, there was some clutter on top of the drums. Ms. Ly (owner) accompanied AQD staff on the inspection and was able to demonstrate her PERC sniffer. She utilizes her FDEP dry-cleaning calendar, however she needs to include her rolling PERC averages.